Nurse As Civil Juror: Court Finds No Misconduct.

husband and wife filed suit against various parties after the wife slipped and fell and fractured her tibial plateau that she could be discharged from the facilwhile a patron at a riverboat gambling casino. Dissatisfied with the verdict of only \$55,000, the couple appealed. Among other allegations they claimed juror mis- She sued for negligence. The local county conduct by a nurse on their jury.

A juror who has specialized knowledge in a certain field is not supposed to use that knowledge or share that knowledge with other jurors sitting on a civil case to influence the jurors in reaching a verdict.

Introduction of extraneous information on top of the evidence presented in court is considered prejudicial to the civil court's processes.

COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA December 8, 2003

The jury awarded nothing for future medical expenses, even with solid evidence she needed future surgeries costing \$107,000, because the nurse/juror told the just because a patient falls. other jurors Medicare would cover it.

agreed that jurors are not supposed to use with them to influence the jury's decision.

However, it is common knowledge, not specialized knowledge among healthfor eligible persons even if they have the means to pay. Furthermore, a juror with (Ind. App., December 8, 2003).

Patient Falls: Court Sees It As Malpractice, Dismisses Case.

nurse stood the patient on her feet while assisting her to get dressed so dent appealed from the ruling of a medical ity where she had been undergoing rehab from a head injury.

The patient fell and fractured her leg. bed with a broken hip circuit court judge dismissed her case. The in an unpublished opinion, overruled the Court of Appeals of Michigan agreed, in review panel and said the family will get an unpublished opinion.

The act of assisting a patient in this patient's condition, i.e., recovering from a head injury and bedridden for a prolonged period of time, to stand or to move from a bed to a chair, requires training and the exercise of professional judgment, both to minimize the patient's discomfort and to quard against further injury.

COURT OF APPEALS OF MICHIGAN **UNPUBLISHED OPINION** December 2, 2003

A nurse is not necessarily negligent

While a patient at the rehab facility The Court of Appeals of Indiana there were physician's orders for the nurses to have the patient get out of bed. their specialized knowledge they bring have her sit in her chair, have her learn to ambulate with a walker and have her resume her own self-care. In assisting the patient to stand and dress the nurse was care professionals, that Medicare pays bills performing a professional nursing intervention. Therefore, there must be expert witness testimony as to the nursing stanspecialized knowledge is supposed to be dard of care and breach of the standard of excused and if not commits no wrongdoing care by the nurse. The patient in this case sharing his or her knowledge and experi- was unable to provide an expert so the case Evans v. Buffington Harbor River had to be dismissed. Lewandowski v. Mercy Boats, LLC, __ N.E. 2d __, 2003 WL 22883320 Memorial Hosp. Corp., 2003 WL 22850024 (Mich. App., December 2, 2003).

Patient Falls: Lawsuit Will Go Forward.

he family members of an eighty-one year-old deceased nursing home resireview panel that exonerated the defendants from liability for the deceased's fall in a nursing home. She was found by her

The Appeals Court of Massachusetts, their day in court to present their evidence before a judge and jury and ask for an award of damages in their favor. Danna v. Marina Manor, Inc., 2003 WL 22888936 (Mass. App., December 8, 2003).

The family of the deceased obtained a letter from a doctor stating his expert medical opinion.

His expert medical opinion was that the nursing home staff breached the acceptable standard of care. which caused her fall. which contributed to her premature death.

They knew she required supervision walking due to an unsteady gait and noncompliance with walker usage, and because she fell at home before admission.

There was a legal duty to use a Posey vest restraint to keep her in bed and to observe her more closely.

The nursing staff needed and should have sought a medical order for restraints. for the resident's safety.

APPEALS COURT OF MASSACHUSETTS **UNPUBLISHED OPINION** December 8, 2003