## **Post-Katrina Care: Jury Says Hospital Not Liable For** Patient's Death.

patient was in the hospital experiencing an already difficult recovery from Katrina struck New Orleans.

The patient's condition declined gradually until his body temperature turned on a halogen lamp. She noticed that reached 107° F and he expired.

During the crisis immediately following Katrina the air conditioning was not on and off. She started the IV, left the functioning in the hospital. Nurses testi- lamp on and a half-hour or forty-five minfied it was "ridiculously" hot in most areas utes later assisted the physician in prepping damage. The patient had never regained and that the heat adversely affected the for a procedure on the infant's umbilicus. nurses and their patients.

The hospital's facilities director testified his walkthrough revealed that all patient rooms had electrical power in the headboards of the beds and spot coolers were in use in many critical areas where needed.

The backup generators, however, did not put out enough power to be able to turn on the air conditioning.

COURT OF APPEALS OF LOUISIANA November 6, 2013

## **Neonatal Intensive** Care: Nurse Used Malfunctioning Halogen Lamp.

Then the newborn infant arrived in the neonatal intensive care unit the cardiothoracic surgery when Hurricane first task for the nurse was to start an IV in the infant's hand.

> To see what she was doing the nurse the handle for positioning the lamp was "askew" and that the lamp was flickering

The physician and nurse saw blisters on the infant's abdomen which they charted as caused by the halogen lamp.

The nurse noticed that the halogen lamp was not working correctly, but nevertheless left it on for more than a half hour before the lamp went off by itself.

The physician and the nurse found burns on the infant's abdomen when they prepped him for his umbilicus procedure.

COURT OF APPEALS OF MICHIGAN October 31, 2013

The Court of Appeals of Louisiana upheld the jury's verdict for the hospital.

Conditions in the hospital were not unreasonable under the circumstances. Backup generators provided power to patient bedsides and spot coolers were in use.

Hospitals do have a legal duty in general terms to provide patients with adestandard, the Court said, that emergency to turn on the air conditioning.

It was also inconclusive whether un-Falcone v. Touro Infirmary, \_\_ So. 3d \_\_, 2013 WL 5946588 (La. App., November 6, 2013).

approved a jury verdict in the family's inexcusable violation of the standard of favor, finding it a case of ordinary negli- care for the patient's nurses to have permitgence by the nurse which did not require ted a malfunction of the hospital bed to an expert witness on NICU nursing stan- dislodge a patient's trache tube or discondards or medical standards for the proce- nect the O<sub>2</sub> supply to the tube, resulting in dure the physician was starting.

The nurse testified she kept the lamp quate ventilation, but there is no specific in use despite the fact, as she said, that the speculation, that the trache tube or the O<sub>2</sub> handle was "askew" which meant the hanbackup power must be sufficient to be able dle was not blocking the full intensity of the hot light radiating from the lamp.

The nurse knew there was something avoidable post-surgical sepsis, rather than wrong with the lamp and realized or should the lack of air conditioning, caused the have realized it posed a potential hazard to fatal spike in the patient's temperature. the infant patient, but kept using it anyway. Pryor v. Harper Hosp., 2013 WL 5878676 (Mich. App., October 31, 2013).

## **Post-Surgical** Care: Patient's **Bed Was** Defective.

he patient was in intensive care following heart bypass surgery.

The day after her operation the patient's nurses and her family noticed that the head of the bed was gradually drifting downward from the optimal 30° elevation, so the nurses moved the patient to another

Two days after the incident an MRI revealed the patient had significant brain consciousness after her surgery and passed away nine days after the MRI.

The family sued alleging that the spontaneous lowering of the head of the bed dislodged the patient's endotracheal tube depriving her of oxygen leading to hypoxic brain damage.

The family's nursing experts' opinions did not prove that a breach of the nursing standard of care caused the patient's death.

> COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS October 31, 2013

The Court of Appeals of Texas dismissed the family's lawsuit.

The Court agreed with the family's The Court of Appeals of Michigan nursing experts that it would have been an compromised oxygenation.

> However, there was no proof, only supply tube was in fact affected.

> Further, the Court said it was not within a nurse's area of expertise to give an opinion that disruption of external oxygenation was the root medical cause of this patient's hypoxic brain injury. That also would only be speculation and would not support a liability award. Snodgrass v. Hillcrest Baptist, 2013 WL 5915230 (Tex. App., October 31, 2013).

December 2013