Babies Switched In Nursery: Court Allows One Of The Mothers To Sue For Damages.

ne of the mothers was given an infant to nurse and nursed her for a time until she realized she did not look like her own baby. The mother checked the ID bracelet on the baby's ankle, realized it was not her own baby and jumped right up out of bed, injuring her sutured incision.

The neonatal nursing staff admitted there was a mistake. They went to the bassinet with her last name and found the ID bracelet on the infant inside had the other mother's last name. They put her name on a new ID bracelet for the baby and tried to assure her that the mix-up had been solved.

hers and this baby's blood samples to es- other new mother to nurse. tablish that she had the right infant.

her when she was discharged. Her anx- stomach, along with glucose water that had iousness continued for ten days until the earlier been given to the infant, pending DNA results came back and proved she blood tests on the other mother to rule out the director of behavioral health ordered now really had the right baby.

The Court of Appeals of Tennessee breast milk. The tests proved negative. ruled this mother did have the right to sue for her own mental anguish and emotional ruled the infant suffered no harm by being distress, from the time she discovered the nursed by another person and having her mix-up until the DNA results came back.

It did not matter that her attorney was the one who finally sent her to a psychia- ted because the procedure was done pursutrist, basically so there would be expert ant to a physician's order and fell within testimony as to her anxiety reaction to the general consent to treatment papers the prove damages in her lawsuit. Filson v. parents had signed on the infant's behalf.
 Seton Corp., 2009 WL 196048 (Tenn. App., Hobbs v. Seton Corp., 2009 WL 196040 (Tenn. January 27, 2009).

Each of the two mothers has filed suit because one of them was allowed to nurse the other's baby, due to a mix-up committed by the neonatal nursing staff. COURT OF APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

January 27, 2009

ne of the mothers was resting comfortably in her hospital room when She was still understandably quite she was informed that her infant had been increase, so she got another nurse to call concerned. DNA testing was ordered on taken from the nursery and given to an-

As a precaution, the other mother's The same infant was sent home with breast milk was suctioned from the baby's any infection that could be passed by her

> The Court of Appeals of Tennessee stomach contents removed.

> There was no medical battery commit-

Wrongful **Termination:** Nurse Refused To Alter Chart, Has Grounds For A Lawsuit.

he nurse called the attending physi-L cian for permission to give more of a prn anxiety medication early, believing the psychiatric patient was having anxiety and showing extrapyramidal signs (EPS).

The physician told her to give Haldol, which would only tend to increase EPS if that was what was happening. She did give the Haldol and the EPS seemed to and advocate again for the anti-anxiety The physician ordered Cogentin. med. Another physician came in and ordered Benadryl and that finally calmed the patient down.

Two days later the nurse manager and the nurse to remove her progress note, rewrite portions they had bracketed for emphasis as not to point fault at the attending physician and insert the new progress note in the chart. She refused and was fired.

Removing or altering progress notes in a patient's chart after the fact is conduct for which a nurse's license can be taken.

A nurse cannot be disciplined or terminated for refusing to do something which is illegal and which could result in loss of the nurse's license.

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS February 13, 2008

The Missouri Court of Appeals ruled the nurse had grounds to sue her former employer for damages for wrongful termination. Hughes v. Freeman Health System, S.W. 3d __, 2009 WL 351095 (Mo. App., February 13, 2009).

Epidural: High-Spinal Block During Catheter Replacement.

he patient's epidural catheter was be- for the procedure, since high-spinal block ment. She arrested for at least ten minutes detect and counteract it promptly are more before cardiac and respiratory function readily available in the O.R. than on a hoscould be restored with epinephrine.

In the ensuing arbitration the patient's should have been taken back to the O.R.

ing replaced for post-op pain manage- is a recognized risk and the resources to pital med/surg floor.

The arbitrator awarded \$2,060,569. attorneys argued successfully that she Skaggs v. Kaiser Foundation, 2008 WL 5638300 (Med. Mal. Arbitration, Contra Costa Co., California, December 12, 2008).

Legal Eagle Eye Newsletter for the Nursing Profession

More legal Information for nurses is available at Legal Eagle Eye Newsletter for the Nursing Profession Home Page.